

SE524/EC524 Optimization Theory and Methods

Yannis Paschalidis
yannisp@bu.edu, <http://ionia.bu.edu/>



Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,
Division of Systems Engineering,
and Center for Information and Systems Engineering,
Boston University



Column Generation Cutting Stock Cutting plane



Lecture 13: Outline

- 1 Column generation.
- 2 Application: The cutting stock problem.
- 3 Cutting plane methods.

Column generation: Key Idea

Why ? “Real” Problems are large !

Consider the LP:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} \\ & \text{s.t.} && \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b} \\ & && \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{A} : m \times n$ and lin. ind. rows.

When n is large, use revised simplex and generate columns when they are needed. Can be solving the problem

$$\min \bar{c}_j$$

to find pivot column.

The Master Iterations method

- 1 (Master Iteration) Search for j with $\bar{c}_j < 0$. Form a **restricted** problem by considering set of columns I containing: basic columns, j , and potentially some additional nonbasic columns, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \\ & \text{s.t.} && \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i x_i = \mathbf{b} \\ & && \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Solve to optimality.
- 3 Go to Step 1.

Variants:

- 1 $I = \{\text{basic variables}, j\}$, i.e., drop columns that exit the basis.
- 2 Never drop anything. I grows as we add new columns.
- 3 Keep “recent” columns in I .

The Cutting Stock Problem

Paper company produces rolls of paper of width W .

Customers request b_i rolls of width $w_i < W$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Pattern \mathbf{A}_j , with a_{ij} being # of rolls of width w_i produced by the pattern.

Feasible patterns satisfy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i \leq W$$

Number of feasible patterns can be **HUGE**.

Objective: Minimize # of large rolls used to satisfy demand.

Decision variables: x_j : # of large rolls cut according to pattern j .

The Cutting Stock Problem (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

x_j should be integer, LP relaxation yields good solution for b_i large.

Initial bfs: For $j = 1, \dots, m$, a feasible pattern \mathbf{A}_j is to cut only one roll w_j , i.e., columns $1, \dots, m$ of \mathbf{A} form identity matrix.

Use Revised simplex. Generate columns to:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_j \bar{c}_j &\Leftrightarrow \min_j (1 - \mathbf{c}'_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \min_j (1 - \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{A}_j) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \max_j \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{A}_j \end{aligned}$$

If $\max_j \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{A}_j > 1$ then $\bar{c}_j < 0$ discovered, otherwise all reduced costs ≥ 0 , i.e., reached optimality.

Column generation subproblem: Knapsack

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \sum_{i=1}^m p_i a_i \\ & \text{s.t.} && \sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i \leq W, \\ & && a_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & && a_i : \text{integer} \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

Can use **dynamic programming (DP)** to solve it. Let $J(r)$ the "reward-to-go" for filling knapsack of capacity r . Note that $J(r) = 0$ for $r < \min_i w_i$.

$$J(r) = \max_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ w_i \leq r}} [p_i + J(r - w_i)]$$

solve moving forward $r = \min_i w_i, \min_i w_i + 1, \dots, W$, recover solution moving backwards. Complexity $O(mW)$.

Cutting plane methods

Let us consider the dual analog of column generation methods.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{b} && (A) \\ & \text{s.t.} && \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{A}_i \leq c_i, && i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. The **relaxed dual** is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{b} && (B) \\ & \text{s.t.} && \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{A}_i \leq c_i, && i \in I \end{aligned}$$

Solve (B) to optimality $\Rightarrow \mathbf{p}^*$.

- ➊ If \mathbf{p}^* is feasible for (A) then optimal for (A).
- ➋ If \mathbf{p}^* is infeasible for (A) find violated constraint, add to I , and resolve (B).

Separation Problem

If \mathbf{p}^* is infeasible for (A), how do we find violating constraint?
We could solve:

$$\min_i (c_i - (\mathbf{p}^*)' \mathbf{A}_i)$$

If optimal value is ≥ 0 , then \mathbf{p}^* is feasible, thus, optimal for (A).
Otherwise, minimizing i gives a violating constraint.

Variants:

- 1 $I = \{\text{active constraints}\}$, i.e., drop constraints that become inactive.
- 2 Never drop anything. I grows as we add new constraints.
- 3 Keep constraints that have been active “recently”.